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## PREPACE

The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, of which the writer is a member maintains that its doctrinal standards are the Holy Scriptures and the Lutheran Confessions. Inasmuch as other Lutheran bodies lay claim to the same doctrinal basis the historic isolationism of the Lutheran Church-missouri Synod requires explanation. The purpose of the present study is to consider the problem of confessional subscription with particular reference to that concept as it is understood within the Lutheran Church-missouri Synod.

Official constitutions and handbooks of the major Lutheran bodies in the United States set forth the standards of doctrine that pertain within those bodies. An effort has been made to shed light on official declarations by a study of documents in which Church leaders and students of the Lutheran Symbols have expressed themselves concerning the place of the Lutheran Confessions in the life of the Lutheran Church.

IMRRODUCTTON

## INTRODUCTION

The Statement of the problem
The Lutheran Church is a confessional Church. Luther's heroic confession at worms was followed by the majestic witness made at the Diet of Augsburg. The reaction of Roman Catholicism to this forthright declaration as well as the need for clarification and additional statements necessitated the formulation of additional creeds or Symbols. These, together with the three ecumenical creeds are usually considered the Confession of the Lutheran Church. The collection is known as the Book of Concord and consists of the three ecumenical creeds, viz., The Apostles', The Nicene, and The Athanasian as well as the six specifically Lutheran Creeds, i.e., The Augsourg Confession, The Apology of the Augsburg Confession, The Smalcald Articles, The Small Catechism of Luther, The Large Catechism of Luther, and the Pormula of Concord.

Even as it was contessional in its Pormative years so the Lutheran Church emphasizes the Symbols today. Challenged by the ecumenical movement and confronted by changing theological trends it looks to the Scriptures and its Confessions for it is held together neither by a hierarchy nor by government power but by its Confession. ${ }^{1}$ Throughout world Lutheranism there is a revival of interest
$1_{\text {Martin }}$ Gunther, Populare Symbolik (St. Louis, Mo., Concordia Publishing House, 1896), P.9
in the Confessions which interest is very real also in the United States.

Although, historically and currently, Lutheranism has stressed the importance of the Confessions The Lutheran Church has been and is divided. This lack of unity in spite of Confessional concern is difeicult to comprehend until one realizes that there is agreement neither as to which Symbols are binding nor to what extent they must be accepted.

On the following pages an endeavor will be made to consider the normative character of the Confessions and the divergent conceptions of confessional subscription. Although, historically, the creeds mentioned above constitute the Lutheran Confessions there is no agreement as to the number of creeds which must be accepted to mark a church as Lutheran. Therefore the matter of confessional canonicity will be treated.

For practical purposes it can be said that there are three major Lutheran groups in the Uhited States today. They are The Lutheran Church in America, The American Lutheran Church, and The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod. The approaches to the question taken by these church bodies will be considered although the major thrust will be upon the problem of contessional subscription as understood in The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod.

To put the matter in proper focus the history of Lutheran confessionalism will be surveyed and the varying aproaches noted. Arter the official statenents of the major American Lutheran groups have been adduced the dirfering views of subscription will be considered and compared. The conclusion will evaluate the possible approaches and make suggestions for a rapprochement.

In addition to the constitutions of the three major Lutheran boaies the Confessions themseives, as well as books and periodicals representing various points of view have been consulted. If there seems to be an undue emphasis upon sources emanating from The Lutheran Churcheo Wissouri synod this is because the views of that body coonstitute the major concern of the present study.

## CHAPIER I

HBMORLCAB SURVEY OR LUTHERAN CONRESGTONALTSH

## CHAPTER I

## HISTORICAL SURVEY OF LUTHERAN CONPESSIONALISM

It is possible to understand the interest presently being manifested in the Confessions by all Lutheran bodies only as one understands the history of Lutheranism. originating as it did in a situation which required unequivocal confession before representatives of an authoritarian Church Lutherans were very naturally forced to consider what was definitive for them and to what extent this was the case. The intention of this chapter is to scan some of the resultant problems and the manner in which they were approached.

## A. POST-RETORURTION GERMANY

1. Acceptance of Aussburg Coniession and Apology

During the birth and early years of Lutheran Confessionalism (1517-30) Luther and his writings (95 Theses) served as unofficial contessions. ${ }^{2}$ It can be said that Dr. Martin Luther himself constituted the great Confession of that period. The earliest books to so serve
$2_{\text {Erwin }}$ L. Lueker (ed.), Lutheran Cyclopedia (St. Lous, Mo., Concordia publishing House, 1954), p. 630
were the Catechisms of 1529 published for the instruction of congregations.

The Augsburg Confession was adopted by various Leagues and groups in Germany so that by 1530 candidates for theological degrees at Wittenberg University were pledged to it under oath and arter 1535 row was admit-
 with the doctrines of the Confession. Although the Augsburg Confession is not always named in ordination vows the references to doctrina incomupta cleariy refer to it and the German "Ordinanden Examen" of 1552 expressly name the Augustana which was made the indispensable basis for every religious colloquy. ${ }^{4}$

This response was precisely what the Reformers Chemitz and Chytraeus, had in mind when in the Preface to the Thorough Deciaration of the Pormula of Concord they wrote:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { To this Christian Augsoure Confession, so thoroughly } \\
& \text { grounded in God's Word, we herewith pledge ourselves } \\
& \text { from our inmost hearts. We abide by its simple, clear } \\
& \text { and unadulterated meaning as the words convey it, and } \\
& \text { regard the said Conession as a pure Christian Symbol } \\
& \text { with which at the present time true Christians ought } \\
& \text { to be found next to cod's Word....We intend also, by } \\
& \text { the grace of the Amighty, faithfully to abide until } \\
& \text { our end by this Christian Conession, mentioned sever- } \\
& \text { al times, as it was delivered in the year } 1530 \\
& 3_{\text {He Reu, The Augsburg Confession (Chicago, Tll. }} \\
& \text { Wartburg Publishing House, 1930), pp.142-144 } \\
& { }^{4} \text { Tbid., p. } 140
\end{aligned}
$$

to the Emperor Charles $V$ and it is our purpose, neither in this nor in any other writing, to recede in the least from that opt-cited Confession nor to propose another, or new Confession.

Again:
We confess also the First, Unaltered Augsburg Confession as our symbol for this time (not because it was composed by our theologians, but because it has been taken from God's Word and is founded firmly and well therein), precisely in the form in which it was committed to writing in the year 1530, and presented to the Emperor Charles $V$ at Augsburg. 5

The Augsburg Confession was endorsed by John, Duke of Saxony, Elector; George, Margrave of Brandenburg; Ernest, Philip, Landgrave of Hesse; John Frederick, Duke of Saxony; Francis, Duke of Luneburg; Woligang, Prince of Anhalt; the Senate and Magistrate of Nuremberg, ad the Senate of Reutlingen as follows:

The above articles we desire to present in accordance with the edict of Your Imperial Majesty in order to exhibit our Confession and let men see the summary of the doctrine of our teachers.

In the preamble to the signatures of 1537 the
Lutheran preachers unanimously confess:
We have reread the article of the Confession presented to the Emperor in the Assembly at Augsburg, and by the favor of God all the preachers who have been present in this Assembly at Smalcald harmoniously declare that they believe and teach in their churches according to the articles of the Confession and Apology.

John Brenz, (1499-1570) the precocious follower
$5_{\mathrm{F}}$. Bente (ed.) Concordia Triglotta (St. Louis, Mo., Concordia Publishing House, 1921) p. 8
of Luther from the year 1518, declared that he had read the Apology, etc. and judged "that all these agree with Holy Scripture and with the belief of the true and genuine Catholic Church (haec omnia convenire cum Sacra Scriptura et cum sententiae verae (x'yyozscatholicae ecclesiae)." Another subscription to the Smalcald Articles reads: "I, Conrad Figenbotz, for the glory of God subscribe that I have thus believed and am still preaching and firmiy believing as above." Briktus writes in a similar vein: "I,...subscribe to the Articles of the reverend Father Martin Luther and confess hitherto I have thus believed and taught and by the Spirit of Christ, I shall continue thus to believe and teach." ${ }^{6}$
2. Acceptance of additional Symbols.

In like manner the remaining Luther an Symbols, viz., the two Cathechisms of Luther, and the pormila of Concord, were adopted. Ohher books, the Formula of Concord declares, are accounted useful, "as far as (wofern, quatenus) they are consistent with" the Scriptures and the Symbols. The Symbols, however are accepted "that we may have a unanimously received, definite, common form of doctrine, which all our Evangelical churches together and in common confess, from and according to which, because (cum, weil) it has been derived from God's word, all

$$
6_{\text {Tbid. }}
$$

other writings should be judged and adjusted, as to how far (wiefern, quatenus) they are to be approved and accepted."

After its adoption by the Lutheran electors, princes and estates, the Formula of Concord, and with it the entire Book of Concord, was solemnly subscribed by about eight thousand theologians, pastors, and teachers, the pledge reading:

Since now, in the sight of God and of all Christendom we wish to testify to those now living and those who shall come after us that this declaration herewith presented concerning all the controverted articles aforenentioned and explained, and no other is our faith, doctrine, and confession, in which we are also willing, by God's grace to appear with intrepid hearts berore the judgement-seat of Jesus Christ, and give an account of it: and that we will neither privately nor publicly speak or write anything contrary to it but, by the help of God's grace intend to abide thereby; therefore, after nature deliberation, we have, in God's fear and with the invocation of His name, attached our signatures with our own hands.

In the Preface to the Book or Concord the princes and estates declare that many churches and schools had received the Augsburg Confession "as a symbol of the present time in regard to the chiet articles of faith, especially those involved in a controversy with thefomanists and various corruptions of the heavenly doctrine." They solemnly protest that it never entered their minds "either to introduce, furnish a cover for, and establish any false doctrine, or in the least even recede from the Confession presented in the year 1530 at Augsburg." They declare:
${ }^{7}$ Ibid.

This Confession also, by the help of God, we will retain to our last breath, when we shall go forth from this life to the heavenly fatherland, to appear with joypul and undanted mind and with a pure conscience before the tribunal of our Lord Jesus Christ."..." "Therefore we also have determined not to depart even a finger's breadth from the subjects themselves or from the phrases which are found in them (vel a rebus ipsis vel a phrasibus quae in illa habentur discetere) but, the Spirit of the Lord aiding us, to persevere constantly, with the greatest harmony in this godly agreement, and we intend to examine all controversies according to this true norm and declaration of pure doctrine." 8
H. Wincke of Goettingen in December, 1529 drew up a form in which the candidate for ordination declares: "I believe and hold also of the most sacred Sacrament... as one ought to believe concerning it according to the contents of the Bible, and as Doctor Martin Luther writes and confesses concerming it especially in his Confession" (of the Lord's Supper, 1528). The Goettingen Church Order of 1530 however, did not as yet embody a vow of ordination. The first pledges to the Symbols were demanded by the University of ${ }^{\text {Itittenberg }}$ in 1533 from candidates for the degree of Doctor of Divinity. In 1535 this pledge was required also of the candidates for ordination. The oath provided that the candidate must teach in agreenent with the Ecumenical Symbols and the Augsburg Confession.

were also pledged by oath to the Augsburg Confession. ${ }^{9}$ New members entering the Smalcald League at the Diet of Smalcald in 1535 had to provide for pure teaching of the Augsburg Confession while the Pomeranian Church Order drawn up by Bugenhagen in the same year pledged pastors to the Augsburg Confession and Apology. Capito, Bucer, and all the others who took part in the wittenberg Concord the following year pleaged over their signatures "to believe and to teach in all parts according to the Confession and the Apology." (Corpus Reformatorum, opp. Melanchthonis, 3,76). In 1540 John ${ }^{\text {Migand promised to }}$ abide by the Augsburg Confession and Apology and stated that if he were found to teach otherwise he should be deposed from the ministry. Veit Pflugmacher in 1541 also at Goettingen made essentially the same pledge。 10

Opposition to this consistent binding to the Symbols arose when in 1550 and again in 1552 Andrew Osiander attacked the oath of confession in vogue at Wittenberg on the ground that it was an involvement in oath-bound duties after the manner of the papists. He claimed it Led students from Scriptures to "Milip's doctrine." Melanchthon himself replied to the charge in 1553 stating that Luther had introduced the oath for the purpose of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \text { Tbid. } \\
& 10 \text { Tbid., p. } 9
\end{aligned}
$$

maintaining true doctrine since many enthusiasts had been teaching falsely. De took the position that a doctrinal pledge was necessary "in order correctly to acknowledge God and call upon Him to preserve harmony in the Church, and to bridie the audacity of such as invent new doctrine." (Corpus Reformatorum, 12,5.) 11

In 1561 an assembly of German estates "again unanimously subscribed this Christian confession, i.e., the Augsburg Confession." At this time it could be said, "This Christian agreement (The Book of Concord) is called and also is the unanimous and concordant confession not only of a few of our theologians but generally of each and every minister and schoolmaster in our lands and territories."12 It was in this year also that the Reformers said no doctrine was to be taught in their churches which was contrary to the Augsburg Confession and that all controversies were to be settled by the Book of Concord. 13
3. Nomative use and reaction

The normative character of the Confessions was

11 Ibid.
$12_{\text {Theodore }} G$. Tappert (ed.) The Book of Concord (Philadelphia, Penna., munlenberg press, 1959) p.5
$13_{\text {Tbid. }} \mathrm{p} .12$
clearly enunciated in the Preface to the Christian Book of Concord which stated on the one hand that other writings of theologians are not to be rejected if they agree with the Book of Concord ${ }^{14}$ and on the other, that amid all the errors there should exist a gody explanation and agreement concerning these controversies from God's word by which the pure doctrine might be discriminated and separated from the false so that those who refuse to be bound by any confession of the pure doctrine might be revealed. ${ }^{15}$ By 1577 it was established that only such were to be admitted to subscription as had read the Formula of Concord and were in complete agreement with its doctrinal contents. In Electoral Saxony, Elector August, learning that the wittenberg professors were trying to avoid an unqualified subscription said he was determined to keep doctrine pure and "in agreement with the Fomula of Concord." Those professors and teachers in Leipzig and Wittenberg who declined to accept the pormula of Concord were dismissed. ${ }^{16}$

As the result of an order by Duke prederick William in February 1592 that there be a visitation of all the churches in Electoral Saxony there were published

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 14 \text { Tbid., Bente, } 1.17 \\
& 15 \text { Tbid. } \\
& 16.21 \\
& \text { Tbid. } \\
& \hline .248
\end{aligned}
$$

in 1593 "Visitation Articles in the Entire Electorate of Saxony, together with the Negative and Contrary Doctrines of the Calvinists, and the Form of Subscription, as Presented to be Signed by Both Parties." All teachers and ministers in Electoral Saxony were required to subscribe to the articles as doctrinal norms up to the year 1836 although they are not an integral part of the Book of Concord. ${ }^{17}$

By the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries the Lutheran Conessions had become merely an empty form due to the influence of Pietism, Rationalism, and sectarianism. However men like August Friedrich Christian Vilmar (1800-1868), the most prominent Fiessian theologian of the Nineteenth Century, kept alive a sense of the importance of confessionalism contending that while Scripture must ever be the primary norm the Symbols are valid as secondary norms. ${ }^{18}$ Vilmar viewed the Symbols as a witness to the experience of the Catholic Church, a living experience guided by a living, active Holy Spirit. "To adhere to the Symbols" he stated, "is nothing else than to recognize the experience of the Church as such and to live according

$$
17 \text { Thid. } 0.192
$$

18 Edward Frederick Peters, "The Sacraments and Sacramental Actions in The wrks of August Friedrich Christian Vimar" (unpublished S.T.m. Thesis, Concordia Sem. St. Louis, June, 1958, )p. 13.
to these experiences and to know them and approprate them as one's own experiences."19

As Lutheranism spread through the various countries of Europe and beyond there was, in one form or another, subscription to one or all of the Conessions.

## B. TuE UNTTED STATES

1. Importance of Confessionalism In The New Environment The Lutheran Confessions included in the Book of Concord have generally occupied a more important place in American than in European church life. In most countries of Gurope a close relationship was established between church and state and between Lutheranism and national culture. In America Lutherans were compelled to come to terms with their new environment and to find their unity in a common confession, in "agreement in the teaching of the cospel." It may be maintained that Lutherans in America have thus come closer to realizing the intention of the reformers than have many of their European brethren. Be that as it may, the Confessions have on the whole been taken more seriously in North America than in Europe, although the recent revival of interest in the Confessions abroad should not be underestimated. 20

In early American Lutheranism church conferences and synods did not emphasize confessional loyalty and frequently constitutions made no mention of the Confessions. Although Henry Melchior Muehlenberg sought to rally Lutherans around the Augsburg Confession "and other Lutheran Symbols", after his death rationalism brought about a trend away from the confessions so that, by the Nineteenth Century, some even favored abandoning the Confessions. 21

## 2. Development of Rigid Confessionalism

The Tennessee Synod, organized 1820, was probably the first to insist on strict confessionalism as a reaction to this ultra-liberal attitude. ${ }^{\text {with }}$ the greater prominence accorded the Symbols distinctions were made between fundamental and non-Pundamental doctrines. 22

After 1845 as a result of the new confessional trend in Germany and the newly organized lissouri Synod's Lutheraner and Lehre $u$. Wehre which demanded an unconditional adherence to the Augsburg Confession and, if possible, to all the Symbolical Boks there was a serious emphasis on Confessionalism. 23

21 Lueker, p. 634
23 Tbid.
23 Rue, p. 203
3. Reaction To Rigid Confessionalism

The opposition to this rigid emphasis, sparked by 3. S. Schmucker in his "Definite Platform" of 1355, defended an American Lutheranism with a revised Augsburg Confession eliminating certain doctrines which were regarded as non-fundamental by sone and rejected by other 24,25

Schmucker was unsuccessful for many synods left the General Synod and in 1867, organized the General Council which pledged all of its members to the whole Book of Concord of 1580.26
4. The Pour Divergent Attitudes

Actually there were four sharply divergent
attitudes toward the Confessions among American Lutherans in the Nineteenth Century. One of these was stated by J.A. Probst, a pastor near Easton, Pennsylvania when in 1826, he published Die Wiedervereinigung der Latheraner u. Reformierten", in which he wrote:

To Christian people in general, dogmas about which the preachers of former days quarreled in their publications no longer matter. Such writings are now of interest only to scholars. All the old confessional writings too...are with time becone obsolete and have only historical value. Those times and circumstances are past...consequently we are not bound to

> 24 Tbid.
> 25 Lueker, p. 634
> 26 Reu, $p .203$
them but to the Bible...all enlightened and informed preachers are mutually agreed in this, that much in the bitherto existing confessional writings must be stricken as obsolete and absura. 27

A second attitude grew out of opposition to such men as Probst and out of an effort to stem the tide of rationalism. As aforementioned, Samuel Simon Schmucker professor of theology at Gettysburg, proposed not an abandonment but a revision of the Confessions. Fe wanted. everyone to subscribe the Augsburg Confession and to declare by such subscription before God that it corresponds with the Bible. However, he rejected the "obsolete authority" of all the church's "enormous symbols" except the ecumenical creeds and the Augsburg Confession. He advocated and defended the adoption of "only the twentyone doctrinal articles" (of the Augsburg Confession), omitting even the condematory clauses of these, and also the entire Catalogue of Abuses Corrected. To this he added the statenent "No minister, however, considers himself bound to believe every sentiment contained in these twentyone articles, but only the fundamental doctrines." Dy fundamental doctrines he evidently meant only those common to all Christendom. The principle on which he based his famous "American Recension of the Augsburg Confession" was this; "To omit the several portions which

27, C. Fendt (ed.) What Lutherans are Thinking (Columbus, Ohio: The Wartburg Press, 1947) p. 345
are rejected by the great mass of our churches in this country, and to add nothing in their stead."28
C.F.W.Walther (Der Lutheraner, XL St. Louis 1854, p.203) set forth a third view which embraced all the confessions in the Book of Concora and received them as literally binding. He required "unconditional subscription" to the confessions and defined this as solemn declaration by the candidate that "he achowledge the doctrinal contents of the Symbolical books of our church, and these without any exceptions, as in full agreement with the Scriptures, and in conflict with them at no point (whether a primary or a secondary point)...Accordingly, no matter what the location of a teaching may be in the doctrinal systen of the symbols, and no matter in what form it may appear... whether it be treated as an ex professo topic or as an incildental remark...the unconditional subscription applies to eyery one of them: none of them is accepted with reservations by the subscriber,...All the elaborations of doctrine included in the Symbols become, by virtne of the reception of these by the church, parts of the Confessions." (Reported in Synodalbericht der deutschen ev. luth. Synode von Iowa, p.p.8,16. $)^{29}$

As is evident from the foregoing the history of American Lutheran attitudes towards the confessions is one of reaction and action. Thus, in protest against what was deemed the extravagant dogmatism of Walther, George M. Grossmann, one of the founders and the first president of the former Iowa Synod, in a four th position, agreed with an insistance upon the authority of all the Confessions but said that they should be interpreted "historicaIly and practically", rather than "dogmatically". He wrote: "The Church should embrace the Confessions because it acknowledges all the symbolical decisions, in matters which were in dispute before and during the age of the Reformation, as being in accord with the Ford of God." (Georg J. Fritschel, ed., Quellen und Dokumente zur Geschitchte und Lehrstellung der ev. Iuth. Synode von Iowa u.a. Staaten. Chicago, N.D., 223-23. CP. George J. Pritschel, Aus den Pagen der V鹃, Chicago: 1930, p.p.134-42). He stated that in the face of the tendencies exemplified by Schmucker and Walther the Towa Synod professes its adherence to that which, on the basis of the Scriptures and guided by the Confessions, strives toward the development and perfection of the Lutheran church. He felt that Schmucker's position rejected light from the past and that

Walther's denied there could be new ligit in later times. He "could not but deciare that it is contrary to the whole history of the church to say that the time of symbolical formulation has terminated in the year $1580^{\prime \prime}$, that is with the publication of the Book of Concord. (Quellen $u$. Dokumente, $p .225)^{30}$

While none of these four views is accepted by any church officially each of these men contributed something. Probst centered attention on the primacy of Scriptures, Schmucker on the relation between the Confessions and later theological apprehension, walther on the Conpessions as official expositions of the Scriptures, and Grossmann on the historical and secondary character of the Confessions. 31

Thus it is evident that wile the founders of American Lutheranism confessed to the whole body of the Symbols ${ }^{32}$ Lutheranism was soon troubled by questions regarding the binding nature of various confessional statements and the very meaning of subscription itself. At the end of the nineteenth and during the twentieth century many mergers and separations occurred among the various

$$
30 \text { Tbid., pp } 348,349
$$

32 Tbid. , pp 354,355
Charles P. Mrauth, The Conservative Reformation
and Its Theology, (Philadelphia, Penna., General Council Publication Board, 1871), p.274

Lutheran bodies and in practically every case there were statements and resolutions as to the meaning of confessional loyalty. Since the purpose of the present study is a consideration of the current scene the official statements of the three major Lutheran bodies in America will be surveyed, the question of confessional subscription will be dealt with, and a comparison will be made between the two basic approaches.

Whatever their dirferences the Lutherans of America came to grips with the question of confessionalism and their struggles have had a profound influence upon the confessional positions held by Lutherans of our dayo

## CHAPLER IT

ORPTCTAL SPATEMXNS OP THE MAUOR LUTHEAM BODTES IN THE UNETED STATES

OFRICTAL STATEMENTS OR THE MAJOR EUTHM MN BODTES

The official constitutions and handbooks of the major Lutheran bodies in the United States set forth the place which the Confessions occupy in the life and teaching of those groups. The following are statements from such constitutions. Since the primary subject of this study is the stance of The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, the liturgical rites of that group in wich reference to the Confessions is made are also aduced.
A. THE LUTHERAN CEURCR IN AMERTCA

## 1. Constitution

At its constituting convention The Lutheran Church in America adopted a constitution and by-laws which were published together with the amendments to the by-laws adopted at that convention. The pertinent statements follow: Article II...Confession of Faith

Section 4. This church accepts the Apostle's, the Nicene, and the Athanasian creeds as true declarations of the faith of the Church.
Section 5. This church accepts the Unaltered Augsburg Coneession and Luther's Small Cathechism as true witnesses of the Gspel, and acknowledges as one with it in faith and doctrine all churches that likewise accept the teachings of these Symbols.

Section 6. This church accepts the other symbolical books of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, the Apology of the Augsburg onfession, the Smalcald Articles, Luthen's Large Catechism, and the Formula of Concord as further valid interpretations of the Confession of the Church. Section 7. This church affirms that the ospel transmitted by the Holy Scriptures, to which the creeds and confessions bear witness, is the true treasure of the Church, the substance of its proclamation, and the basis of its unity and continuity.

Article III...Membership
Section 3. Congregations and ministers when organized into a synod may through such synod unite with this church upon application for membership, subscription to this constitution including its Confession of Faith, and acceptance by a two-thrids vote of the delegates present and voting at a convention of this church.
Article V...Objects and Powers
Section 1, To safeguard the pure preaching of the Word of God and the right administration of the Sacraments by all its congregations in conformity with its Confession of Faith. Sedtion 2. To achieve these ends this church shail... decide all questions of doctrine and life on the basis of the Holy Scriptures in accordance with the Confession of Faith of this church.

Article VII...Ministers
Section 3. Bach minister shall conform his preaching and teaching to the Confession of paith of this church and shall lead a life befitting his holy of rice.

Article KXI...Associatea Churches
Section 1. Any Lutheran Church which has been fostered by the Board of World Missions of this church and which concurs in Article I (Confessions of Faith) and Asticle KXI (Associated Churches) of this constitution, shall be recognized as in filial association with this church upon certipication to the secretary of such concurrence and of its desire to assume such relationship.
2. By-Laws

Section II...Ministers
Item 13 A. Winisters shall be subject to discipline for the following ofenses:
(1) Preaching or teaching doctrines in conflice with the Confession of pith as set forth in the foregoing constitution. 30
B. THE AMERICAN LUTHERAN CRURCH

In the Handbook of this church body, Edition of
1960 revised to 1963, we find the following:

## 1. Constitution

Article IV...Confession of Paith
Section 2. As brief and true statements of the Word OI GOd the Church accepts and confesses the following Symbols, subscription to which shall be recuired of all its members, both congregations and individuals:a. The ancient ecumenical Creeds, the Apostolic, the Nicene, and the Athanasian.
b. The Unaltered Augsburg Coniession and Luther"s Small Catechism.
Section 3. As further elaboration of and in accordance with these Lutheran Symbols, the Church also receives the other documents in the Book of Concord of 1580: the Apology, Luther's Large Catechism, the Smalcald Articles, and the formula of Concord, and recognizes them as normative for its theology. Section 4 . The American Lutheran Church accepts without reservation the symbolical books of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, not insofar as but because they are the presentation and explanation of the pure doctrine of the Word of God and summary of the faith or the Evangelical Lutheran Church.
${ }^{33}$ Constitution and By-Laws Lutheran Church in America including amendements to by-laws Lutheran adopted at constituting convention (Philadelphia, Penna., Muhlenberg press ), 1962

Article MX...Anendments
Section 1. The doctrinal basis and the confessional Subscription of The American Lutheran Church, as contained in Article IV of The Constitution, shall De unalterable and no amendment to this Constitution shall conflict therewith. 34

## C. THE LUTHDRAN CRURCEmmTSSOURI SYNO

## 1. Handbook

The Hanabook of The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, 1963 edition, states the following: (Articles of Incorporation)

Article II...Objects
The objects of this corporation shall be:
a. To unite in a corporate body the members of the Evangelical Lutheran Church who acknowledge and remain true to the Book of Concord of the year of our Lord 1580 as a true exhibition of sound Christian doctrine.
2. Constitution

Article II...Confession
Synod, and every member of Synod, accepts without reservations; All the Symbolical boks of the Evangelical Lutheran Church as a true and unadulterated statement and exposition of the Word of God, to wit: the three Ecumenical Creeds (The Apostle's Creed, the Nicene Creed, the Athanasian Creed), the Unaltered Augsburg Confession, the Aology of the Augsburg Confession, the Smalcald Articles, the Large Catechism of Luther, the Small Catechism of Luther, and the Prmula of Concord.

Article VI...Conditions of Membership
Conditions for acquiring and holding membership in
${ }^{3} 4_{\text {Han }}$ dbook of The American Lutheran Church, edition of 1960 (Revised to 1963)

Synod are: (1) Acceptance of the confessional basis of Article TI. 35

Since the primary concern is the concept of confessional subscription within the Lutheran ChurchMissouri Synod, the following rites found in the official Agenda of that church body are adduced with only the pertinent excerpts being recorded.

## 3. Church Orders

## H. The Order for The Ordination of a inister:

Dost Thou Accept the three Rcumenical Creeds, the Apostles, the Nicene, and the Athanasian, as faithful testimonies to the truth of the holy Scriptures and dost thou reject all the errors which they condemn?

Dost Thou Believe that the Unaltered Augsburg Confession is a true exposition of the Word of God and a correct exhibition of the doctrine of the evangelical Lutheran Church and that the Apology of the Augsburg Confession, the two Catechisms of Wartin Luther, the Smalcald Articles, and the Pormula of Concord, as contained in the Book of Concord are also in agreenent with this one Scriptural faith?

Dost Thou Solemnly Promise that thou wilt perform the duties of thy ofice in accordance with these Confessions and that all thy teaching and thy administration of the Sacraments shall be in coneormity with the Roly scriptures and with the aforementioned Confessions? 36
B. The Order For The Installation of a Minister

Wilt Thou Preach and teach the pure Word of God in
${ }^{35}$ Handbook of The Eutheran Church-missouri Synod
1963
$36_{\text {The Lutheran Agenda (St. Louis, Mo., Concordia }}$ Publishing youse) pp 106-107
accordance with the Confessions of the evangelical Lutheran Church and adorn the doctrine of our Savior with a godly and holy life? ${ }^{37}$
C. The Order por The Installation of a professor

Thou art to instruct in....., and in all thy teaching thou shalt adhere strictly and without wavering to the truth of the Word of God as revealed in the Canonical Books of the Old Testament and the New Testament, as this truth is set forth and expounded in the Confessions of the Evangelical Iutheran Church contained in the Book of Concord.

Dost Thou Accept the three Ecumenical Creeds, the Apostles', the Nicene, and the Athanasian...as faithful testimonies to the truth of the Holy Scriptures, and dost thou reject all the errors which they condemn?

Dost Thon Believe that the Unaltered Augsburg Confession is a true exposition of the word of God and a correct exhibition of the doctrine of the evangelical Lutheran Church, and that the Apology of the Augsburg Confession, the two Catechisms of Martin Luther, the Smalcald Articles, and the Pormula of Concord, as contained in the Book of Concord...are also in agreement with this one Scriptural faith?

Dost Thou Solemnly Promise that thou wilt perform the duties of thine ofice in accordance with these Confessions and that all thy teaching will be in conformity with the Eoly Scrigtures and with the afore-mentioned Confessions? ${ }^{38}$
D. The Order For The Ordination and Commissioning of a Missionary
Dost Thou Accept the three Ecmenical Creeds... the Apostles', the Nicene, and the Athanasian, as faithful testimonies to the truth of loly Scripture, and dost thou reject all the errors which they condemn?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 37 \text { Tbid., p. } 112 \\
& 38 \text { Tbid., pp. } 123-124
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Dost Thou Berieve that the Unaltered Augsburg Confession is a true exposition of the word of Cod and a correct exhibition of the doctrine of the evangelic al Lutheran Church, and that the Apology of the Augsburg Confession, the two Catechisms of Martin Luther, the Smalcald Articles, and the Formula of Concord, as contained in the Book of Concord, are also in agreement with this one Scriptural faith?

Dost Thou Solemnly pomise that thou wit perform the duties of thine ofeice in accordance with these Conressions and that all thy teaching and thy administration of the Sacraments shall be in conformity with the Holy Scriptures and with the afore-mentioned Confessions?
E. The Order For The Installation of a Teacher

Dost Thou Promise to discharge faithfully all the duties of thine office, in accordance with the word of God and the Confessions of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, according to the ability which cod giveth? 9
Fo The order For The Installation of a Church Council
Will You diligently and faithfully perform the duties of your office in conformity with the ordinances of God, the Confessions of our Church, and the constitution of this congregation, according to the ability which God giveth? 40

Go Formulary For The Induction of The President and Vice Presidents of the Synod

Your acceptance of these offices obligates you to serve Synod, not only in the duties laid down in its constitutions as perthining to your respective ofice, but also to promote and preserve among its congregations the unity of the spirit in the bond of peace, to maintain to the best of your ability its truly Christian and Lutheran character, and to do your utmost that it will continue uncompromisingly to adhere to God's Word and in no point swerve from the Scriptural position clearly stated and defined in the Confessions of the evangelical Lutheran Church.

Will You Endeavor faithfully to perform all the

> 39 Tbid., 132
> 40 Tbid., 13.142
duties of such oferices as laid down in the constitution and by-laws of the...., and in accordance with the doctrines of Holy writ as set forth in the Confessions of our church, and to adorn your ofilices with a godiy inie? 41
H. The Rite of Confirmation

Do you Hold all the canonical books of the Bible to be the inspired Word of God, and the doctrine of the evangelical Lutheran Church, drawn from the Bible, as you have learned to know it from Luther's Small Catechism, to be the true and correct one? 42

Although it is actually beyond our scope the emphasis which Lutheranism generally places upon the Confessions is underscored by the doctrinal basis of The Lutheran World Federation which speass of the "confessions of the Lutheran Church but calls special attention to the Augsburg Confession and the Catechisms. "The Lutheran Worla Pederation acknowledges the Holy Scriptures of Old and New Testaments as the only source and the infallible norm of all church doctrine and practice and sees in the Confessions of the Lutheran Church, especially in the unaltered Augsburg Confession and Luther's Catechism a pure exposition of the Word of God. 143

From the foregoing it is entirely eviaent that the three major Lutheran bodies in the United States are confessional in character. It is at the point of defining and clarifying the exact meaning of Lutheran confessionalism that differences become apparent.

| 41 Tbid. |
| :--- |
| 42 p. 196 |
| 40 Pid. |

43 Vilmos Vajta and Hans Weisscerber (ed.) The Church and The Confessions (Phila., Pa., Fortress Press

## CRAPTER III

## CONPESSIONAL SUBSCRTPTION

Having noted the position of prominence which is accorded the Confessions in thee major Lutheran groups one would suspect that there is complete harmony among them. That this is not the case is due, at least in part, to the fact that there have been differing approaches to the Confessions. While in one sense or another these books have been accorded a position secondary to the Holy Scriptures they have not been accepted in the same manner by all concerned. In this chapter the position of the Confessions as well as the two basic approaches to them will be delineated.
A. NORMA NORMATA

The quotations in the previous chapter were limited to those having to do with the Contessions. Dach of the Lutheran groups is very emphatid in its statement regarding the ultimate authority of Holy Scripture. Each recognizes that the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments are norma normans while the symbols are norma normata. The Confessions are viewed as authoritative only because of their agreenent with the Gospel as witnessed in Woly Scriptare. ${ }^{44}$
${ }^{44}$ Wdmund Schink Theology of the Lutheran Confessions. (Phila., Pa., Muhlenberg Press, 1961) p.25

Lutheran orthodoxy circumvented the Symbols precisely for this reason that they were merely norma normata ${ }^{45}$

On the other hand C.F.W.Walther seems to have overstated his case when he wrote: "Some make the fallacious claim that there is no better interpretation of the Symbols than that which is according to Scripture. Only Scripture can be interpreted by Scripture. On the other hand a human document must be tested and if necessary, improved, but not interpreted acconding to Scriptures. A subscription to the Confession is the Church's assurance that its teachers have recognized the interpretation and understanding of Scripture which is embodied in the Symbols as correct and will, therefore, interpret Scripture as the Church interprets it. If the Church therefore would permit its teachers to interpret the symbols according to the Scriptures, and not the Scriptures according to its Symbols, the subscription would be no guarantee that the respective teacher understands and interprets Scripture as the Church does. In fact, the Church would make the personal conviction of each teacher its Bymbol. 46

The fathers of The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, felt that if the Symbolical Books are to mean

45 Arthur Carl Pieptorn, The Significance of The Lutheran Symbols for Today. (The Seminarian, Concordia Seminary of St. Louis, Mo., 0.35
${ }^{46}$ C. C. W. Walther, Why Should Our Pastors Teachers and Professors Subscribe Unconditionally to the symbolical Writings of Our Church? C.T.M., Vol. XVITI No.4, P. 246
anything public teachers must interpret the Sacred Scriptures according to the Symbolical Books and not Vice Versa. ${ }^{47}$ This view regarded the Reformation a finality so far as the Biblical teachings are concerned and the definitions of its doctrines, having been fully elucidated in the Confessions and Symbols of the Lutheran Church, were no longer subjects for an historical analysis or revision. 48

Sharp issue was taken with this view by The Lutheran Observer which declared that the so-called Symbolists "merely argued in a circle." "Why do you receive the Symbolical Books?" "Because their interpretation of Scripture is correct." "How do you find out what is correct?" "By comparing with the Symbolical Books and interpreting them in accordance." 49

While also in this area there was considerable disagreement and tension Lutherans of all persuasions have held to the ultimate authority of the Scriptures and have recognized that their gymbols must be normated by scripture as the great and final norm.

## B. quatenus

47
${ }^{4}$ Cani Maulshagen, American Latheranism Surrenders to Forces of Conservatism (Ph. D. Thesis submitted to Graduate Faculty of University of Minnesota, Published by U. of Ga., Div. of Publ., Athens, Ga., Copyright i36, p.106) 49Toid., p. 107

1. Various Porms of Conditional Subscription

The question remains: "\#hat is subscription to these normated norms?" Conditional subscription began with the rise of Pietism when Spener very cautiously sowed the seed indicating that in a person could not bring himsele be yond a quatenus his conscience should be respected although it would be better to have a clear-cut guia subscription. (Cf. Spener's Aufrichtige Uebereinstimmug mit der A.C., 10.10.91,92) 50

By a conditional subscription the subscriber does not pledge himselif to accept every doctrine contained in the Symbols as in full agreement with Scripture but reserves the right to distinguish between the doctrines presented.

The conditional subscription took various forms after Spener's initial suggestion. Those with rationalizing tendencies soon contended for the quatenus formia. 51 Reformed and Zuinglians declared themselves ready to subscribe the Aussburg Confession if they would be permitted to interpret it according to Scripture. The Calvinist Peter Martyr said that he would be glad to accept the Augsburg Confession "ip it is properly and suitably understood." Even John Calvin subscribed to the Unaltered Augsburg

50 C . . Wh. Walther, Why Gur pastors, etc., p. 252
51 Ibid., p.243

Confession in the sense "in which its author himself interpreted it."52 There were those who desired to subscribe to the Symbols of both the Lutheran and Repormed Churches in and in so far as they agreed with each other. ${ }^{53}$ When the Reformed proposed setting up a Reformed Confession in contrast to the Augsburg Confession Ursinus, author of the Keidelberg Catechism, wrote to Beza, "No, it is better to give the Augsburg Confession another form of bueial, i.e., by subscribing to it, but secretly, in the calvinistic sense. ${ }^{54}$

## 2. Dipriculties in Conditional Subscription

That the bald quatenus formula created many problems and pails to solve many which confessionalism seeks to solve soon became apparent. These difficulties bere pointed out early by those who felt a stricter form of subscription was desirable and necessary. It was understood that a wholesale declaration that one accepts the LutheranConfessions "as far as" they agree with Scripture not only throws suspicion on the Confessions but also ogens the door to doctrinal latitudinarianism and insincerity。 55

52 Tbid.
53 Tbid., p. 244
$54 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}$. Whalther Der Concordientormel Kern und
Stern (Concordia Pbilshing House, St. Louis, Mo., 1887)
p. $77 \quad 55$

55 Vergilius Ferm, What Is Lutheranism? (New York N. Y., The Machillan Co., 1950), p. 218

Samuel A. Ort, Professor at wittenberg Seminary and later President of the General Synod, wrote, "A qualified subscription is the making of a qualified confession and a qualified confession is a breaking away from convictions and development and this is ecclesiastical suicide."56

## C. QUIA

1. Necessity for Unconditional Subscrintion

In the forefront of those who pointed up what they believed to be the inadequacy of the quatenus were the so-called symbolists. C.F.W.Walther and the founders of the Missouri Synod were very vocal in contending that a meaningful subscription must be quia. While pointing out that such a subscription was essential they did circumscribe their requirement as they sought to define precisely what in the Confessions must be thus unqualifiediy accepteă.

Walther felt that it was fully in accord with the spirit of the Symbols themselves to demand an unconditional subscription from the servants of the Church. Fe quoted the Conclusion of the Augsburg Confession which reads, "Only those things have been recount ed whereof we thought that it was necessary to speak in order that
$\qquad$
${ }^{56}$ illard Dow Alibeck, Studies In the Lutheran Coniessions (Philadelphia, Pema., 1952) p.9 (Mulenberg Press)
it might be understood that in doctrine and in ceremonies nothing has been received on our part against Scripture or the Church Catholic." (Triglotta p.95) The words of the Preface to the entire Book of Concord of 1580 were also adduced, "Wherefore we are also determined not to depart even a inger's breadth either trom the subscriptions themselves or f rom the phrases which are foun in them but, the Spirit of the Lord aiding us, to persevere constantly, with the greatest harmony, in this godly agreement, and we intend to examine all controversies according to this true norm and declaration of the pure doctrine." (Iriglotta, p.23). In addition the Formula of Concord designates all the previous Lutheran Confessions as "a unanimonsly accepted, derinite, common form of doctrine, which all our evangelical churches together and in comon confess from and according to which, because (not in so far) it has been derived from God's Mord, all other mritings should be judged and adjusted as to how far they are to be approved and accepted." (Triglotta, p.855) ${ }^{57}$ These citations indicate that the Symbols themselves require an unconditional acceptance and that any who desire to subscribe conditionally deny what the Symbols themselves demand.

This was the attitude over against subscription
${ }^{57} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}$. walther, Whyy Our pastor's, etc. p. 249
also in practice. Duke Denry of Saxony, for example, demanded that the theologians of the University of Leipzig accept the Augsburg Confession and the Apology and teach accordine to these confessions. When they declared "they would not oppose the Apology and the Confession in so far as they do not contend against the Gospel and the truth (cf. C.G.Hoffman's Rer. Hist. der Stadt und Universitaet Leipzig, p.409) the duke rejected this as an ambiguous declaration. 58

The attitude of those who insisted on an unqualified subscription wa summed up in Muller's book of 1886 when he wrote, "The Church reguires that those who belong to it and teach in it recognize that the Symbols are in harmony with God's Word... The Church forces no one to accept the symbols but requires that those who would be members accept her teachings as their own."59

The Saxon emigrants of whom C.F. 0 . Waither was one included a statement in their Emigration Regulations which, while firm and definite, made no reference to quia or quatenus. It read, "All the undersigned acknowledge with sincerity of heart the pure Iutheran faith as contained in the word of God, the old and New Testaments, and set forth and confessed in the symbolical books of the Lutheran

[^0] mann, 1886), p.MXVI

Chureh. 60
When Walther becane the dominant figure among the Saxons he set forth the following reasons for which the Church demands a subscription. Pirst, that the Church might convince itself that its teachers really possess the orthodox understanding of Bcripture and the same pure, unadulterated faith as the Church. Secondly, that the Church may bind these teachers with a solemn promise to teach this faith pure and unadulterated or to renounce the office of teaching instead of disturbing the Church with faise teaching. He felt that these purposes would be nullified if a conditional subscription were permitted for such permission would be an admission that the Bymbols nay contain doctrines contrary to Bcripture. In his thinking the Church would thus lose all means of convincing itself as to the belief of the teacher and would, in effect, be releasing him from the obligation of teaching the pure Word of God according to the Symbols. He foresaw the situation arising when congregations would no longer be in a position to depose teachens who taught contrary to the Symbols and he feared that a conditional subscription would open the way for renewal of theological controversies which would be and had been settled by the Confessions, thus paving the way for continual discord. 61

60W. W. T. Ban, Ebeneze..... (St. Louis, Mo., Concordia Publishing house, 1922), 1.8

61C.R.W.Wather, Why Gur pastors, Etc., p.245

Walther's loyalty to the Coniessions and his conviction that they must be accepted unreservedly was set forth by him in a lengthy article in Der Lutheraner. Here he repeated the warning of Saint John against false prophets and contended that, because of them, countless sects had arisen. To distinguish itself from all such sects The Evangelical Gutheran Church has set forth its doctrine in The Book of Concord by which it is set apart from all false teachers and factions. Walther, fully aware that conessionalism was under attack, nevertheless insisted on rigorous adherance to the Symbols because he said, they were grounded in Holy Scripture. He continues:
"But sone men ask, 'Aren't the Symbols of the church written by men who are likely to err? Who can depend on them?' We answer, "The guestion is not here whether the authors of the Symbols were men who could err, but rather whether they erred in the teachings which are confessed in these books and have been set down in them.' We admit very readiy that they could err, but we deny the thought that they did err in the articles of faith which they set down. Who could deny that the whole mode and manner in which the Biblical doctrines are confessed in our Symbols and are defended in them is a human and therefore not a perfect one? But that the teachings should themselves be human, imper fect and admitting eqror, that we reject with all earnestness for thereby we would be declaring that God's Word itself is imperfect and full of errors...Does the Bible doctrine become a doctrine of men when those who are giving witness to it are men?

Does the Ford of God, when spoken by a mortal simer become a changeable mistom of this worla? Oh, then the millions of beloved martyrs who were men who could err would not forever have the truth but would have shed their blood for uncertain opinions and
views under nameless martyrdom. Then also the church of christ, because it consists of men, could never say, "We have found the truth." Oh, away with such blasphemous skepticism, with such heathenish despair of finding the truth. For this reason the Bible was given to men that they would no longer be without light, without hope, without certainty in this world, but, defying world and hell, could rejoice in firm faith.. 'We have found the truth.' And just the Church itself should be a pillar and a firm foundation of the truth. (I Tim. 3:15). If nowhere else in the world, then at least in the Church, this most precious of all treasures ought to be found....

It is not enough that one say that the writers of the Confessions could err, but it is necessary to prove that they erred and where they erred. Now certain we Lutherans can therefore be! For this Ind of proof no one has yet offered. They have been able to strike at our Confessions with their reason and with the thoughts of their hearts, but with the Word of God they have never been able to refute them. If one were to ask his reason or his heart regarding many of the doctrines, one could say with Nicodemus, 'How can this be?' But never could one object to them as with the Symbols of other churches with an 'It is written.' It is true that for three hundred years the Latheran Church has been accused of insisting too rigidly, too firmly on the very letters of Holy Writ in the symbolical writings, but seldom has anyone dared to say... and never has anyone been able to prove... that she has departed from the Scriptzres. If then we would fall away from the teachings of the symbolical writings, then we would not be falling away irom the word of men but rather from the Word of God. We would not be denying Lather, but Christ. We would not only cease to be true Lutherans but we would cease to have the right to be called Christians.

But nany will say, 'Granted that your Confessions agree fully with MoIy Scripture. Yet you cannot deny that they are still human confessions. Why would you not rather remain with scripture alone and go back to it as the pure Fora of God?'

To this we would answer as follows: 'It is folly to make a comparison between the Scriptures and the Confessions. The Bible is, so to say, God's con-
fession to us. The symbolical writings are our confessions to God. The Bible is the question of God to men.. 'Do you believe My Word?' The symbolical writings are the answer of men...'Yes, Lord, we berieve what You say.' The Eible is the chest in which all treasures of wisdon and the knowledse of God lie hidden. The symbolical writings are the jewel-room in which the church has deposited, as in a spiritual arsenal, all of the treasures which in the course of hundreds of years with great effort she has drawn and dug out of the treasury of the Bible. The Bible with its teachings is the handwriting of God concerning our salvation, which Satan always wishes to falsily and declare as unauthentic. The symbolical writings contain the records which have been laid down, from which one can see how the Church has believed these teachings from time to time and has ever held fast to them. The Bible is the revealed Mora of God itself. The symbolical writings however, are the correct understanding of the Word of God as God has given it to his church...

Then we should give up these precious Confessions which our fathers have worked out and fought for with such unspeakably diplicult sacrifices?...We should throw them away as worthless? Would we dissolutely throw away the fruit of the Reformation, the booty of a thousand year long battle of the whole Christian Church of all times? The spiritual inheritance or our spiritual fathers? Would we actually now paitblessly surrender into the hands of our enemies and sacrifice to the indifference of our times that for which countless martyrs shed their blood and which the Church after enduring the most terrible persecutions has as its trophy of victory?

But men will say, 'Wouldn't it still be good to go back to the Bible? Isn't the Bible enough?' If the doctrines of the symbolical writings were taken from the Bible, will the Bible not be surficient then to permit any and everyone to fine in then everything even without the Symbols?' We answer, 'To hold fast to the true Symbolical writings is actually no different than to go back to the Bible for the symbolical writings are nothing but the Confession of the Church for the Bible. How would a person hope to find the light singlehandedly after which Christendom had sought for many hundreds of years? Will God. give us Wis Noly Burit in these last times to tind that which God has already permitted Mis Church to
find, if we do not accept that which has already been found, but desire rather to seek it out ourselves? No, he who will not as a humble student accept the pure doctrine from the Church to which God gave it 1800 years ago and to which He again gloriously revealed it 300 years ago, to him God will not give the light and grace to find it himself.

God dispenses His gifts in diferent weys. Me does not give all to everyone, hor does He cive all in every age. He gives to every Christian and to every age their particular neasure. Therefore a Christian should use the gifts of others and those in a later generation should use those of a previous one. Yes, it is God's holy, wise and saving will that men who form a great earthly fanily, their later generae tions stemming from previous ones, should also be a great spiritual family. These younger members are begotten by the older. Therefore paul says in the name of all believers, concerning the Church, 'She is the mother of us all...' The Church today should therefore we in a relation of daughter to mother and we should all be chilaren. The faith of the ancient church should be the faithalso of the living church. Her doctrine, her teaching, her confession should be our doctrine, teaching and confession. Her victory over the enemies of the truth should be our victory. Fer treasures in mowledge should be our possession.

O let us then, dearly beloved, fellow believers, be on guard against the thought or those who refuse to buila on the building of the past, but would begin With something new. Let us bear in mind as the Apostle writes to the Rphesians in the fourth chapter that there is one bodys one faith, one baptism, so also one true church and one right doctrine which should not now for the first time be found but always was and will continue unchanged until the end or days so that all new doctrines and new churches are false doctrines and Lalse churches. For the church has the promise, 'imy words which I have put in thy mouth shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, says the Lord, from henceforth and forever.' (Lsaiah 59:21)...Let us hold fast to her confessions as being our own. Thus we place ourselves in membership with all of the children of God the witnesses of the truth. Thus we prove that we
are of equal birth with our faithful fathers. Thus we join ourselves to the true church of all times and of all places. Thus we take part in the victory of all true contenders of the madulterated mord of God and are fellow-heirge of the full blessings of the Repormation......' 62

Thus it is not survrising that in his Pastoraltheologie he contended that candidates for the preaching or teaching offices should pledge only atter having persuaded themselves of the truth of the contents in rebus et phrasibus. 63

Against the "insofar as" subscription he cited the famous dictum of Johann-Comrad Dannhauer, (1603-1666) mofessor at Strassburg, in his Liber conscientiae apertus that one could subscribe to the Guran "insofar as it appears to our private judgenent to be consonant with the Sacred Scriptures."64 In short, Walther contends for an unconditional subscription and, in doing so, refers to the whole content of the Symbols allowing the subscriber to make no mental reservation in any point, even if the doctrine is discussed only incidentally in support of another teaching. 65
2. How Unconditional Subscription Is Circumscribed
$62_{\text {Mark }}$ J. Steege, Dr. C.P.W.Walther in The SoringPielder Vol. XXV No. 3, Autumn, 1961 (Concordia Seminery, Springitield, Tllinois), pp 5-10

Arthur Carl Piepkorn, Wal ther and The Lutheran Symbols; Concordia Theol. Monthyy, Vol. WAXI No.IO May, 1961, 9.6144
614
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In a Referat (read at the synodical meeting
held at St. Louis in 1858, Lutheraner, p. 201.) Walther defines the meaning of an unqalified subscription to the Unaltered Augsburg Confessions.

Since the Symbols are confessions of the faith or doctrine of the Church (and can be or ain at being nothing else), an unqalified subscription can be understood as nothing else than a solem oathlike promise to the Church by the person who is entering its service that he considers the doctrinal content itself of the Confessions (but this without exception) as differing in no single instance (either in a primary or secondary point) from the Scripture and as being in harmony with it in every point, and for that reason believes in it as in God's Word itself and thus intends to preach that doctrine without falsification. Therefore whatever position a teacher occupies in the doctrinal structure of the Symbols and in whatever form it is presented, be it that of a matter specifically (ex professo)treated or that of an individual statement the unqualified subscription applies to all of them. 66
3. Doctrinal Statements Alone are Binaing

Again Walther states...
An unconditional subscription is the solem declaration which the individual who wants to serve the Church makes under oath, (1)..that he accepts the doctrinal content of our Symbolical Boous because be recognizes the ract that it is in full agreement with Scripture and does not militate against Scripture at any point, whether of major or minor importance, and (2) that he therefore heartily believes in this divine truth and is determined to preach this doctrine without adulteration.... Whatever position the doctrine occupies in the doctrinal content of the Symbols, whatever the form may be in which it occurs, whether the subject be dealt with ex professo or only inciden-
..............
66 E. L. Lueiker, Whalther and. The Free Lutheran Conferenceso 1356-1859, Concordia Theological Monthly, Vol. XV, No. B, August 1944 (St. Louis, Mo., Concordia Publishing Fouse, 0.544
tally, an unconditional subscription refers to the whole content of the symbols and does not allow the subscriber to make any mental reservation at any point. Nor will he exclude such doctrines because of the pact that they are so used stamps the as irrevocable articles of faith and demands their joyful acceptance by everyone who subscribes to the Symbols. 67

Walther's view was not new but in harmony with that expressed in the classic German work by Rudelbach in 1841. In that work this paston and superintendent at Glaudhau, Saxony who fought uncompromisingiy for sound Lutheranism stated, (1). The Church binds its teachers to the Confessions so that they will teach the Word of truth according to the Confessions. 68 pledging to the Conessions involves pledging to the totality of the Confessions, the faith and teaching, the thetical and antithetical statements. It is a pledge not merely of a negative nature, i.e., not to deny the symbols but of a positive nature, to press for them vigorousiy. (2).. The mode of Confessional subscription must (a) express an identification with the revealed truth and therefore must not be guatenus but quia. He argues that as little as the state could afiord to have an orficial who subscribed to the laws "in so far as" so little can the Church
$67 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}$. Walther, Why our Pastors, etc., pp241-242
68 A.G. Rudelbach, Historische-Hritische Einleitung in die Augsburgische Confession (Dresden, Germany., Verlag von Justus Namam, 1841 ) p.212
have leaders who do this (b.) The pledge must be of a binding nature. (c.) The pledge, while categorical and binding, is not obligatory on one who later becomes persuaded that the Confessions are not true. Therefore it must be made easy for one to leave the service of the Church. 69

Nor has Malther's position been without its adherents beyond the church body of which he was the foremost leader. Schmauk and Benze, the two distinguished theologians of the General Cuncil, published a memorable work on the question in 1911 in which they wrote:

He who is a faithol teacher of the Church confesses and teaches the Confession, not because the Confession forces hin to do it as a law laid upon hin but because he recognizes and acknowledges the Scriptural truth in the Confession. Therefore he also assumes the obligation, not in so far as the symbol agrees with Scripture, but becamse it does so. Without this conviction he should not desire membership, much less public service in the Church.

Thus, by 1961, the statement could be made:
That which is in ase anong Lutherans is the type of subscription indicated by the word guia. In this sense, the Confessions are signed because they agree with the Word of God. It is presumed that pastors have examined the Confessions carefully and are prepared to develop the doctrines contained therein as their faith. They make the choice freely but they have come to have convictions and are willing to
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profess them.
As J. L.Neve writes, 'The subscription of a servant of the church must be a real confession, not anything evasive but positive.' Introduction to the Symbolical Books of The Lutheran Church (2nd ed., Columbus Lutheran Book Concern, 1920., p.32). 71

The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, has consisteatly required such an unequivocal subscription to the Symbolical Books. It has done so in the conviction that anything less would lead to unwarranted licenses in its preaching and teaching ministries and, ultimately, to the situation wherein the convictions of each thealogian, minister, or teachor would become a symbol in itsels.
4. Contents of Confessions that Need Not Be Accepted

This position of Missouri has not been without its aifficulties as the proponents of the guatenus view were quick to indicate. The Confessions contain factual errors due to the authors' limited knowledge of historical and scientific matters. In the nature of the case the Confessions contain exegeticel opinions some of which cannot be shared by those who have newer and more complete insights. The confessors made certain historical
${ }^{7} 1_{\text {Erwin }}$ L. Lueker, Functions of Bymbols and of Doctrinal Statements, Concordia Theological Monthly, Vol WMII, No. 5, May 1061, 0.9
judgments which involved not merely an awareness of Scriptural truth but required the use of human reason in determining that to which Scriptural statements applied. Succeeding generations of Lutherans were not always ready to accept the judgment of the confessors. Hence it becane imperative that there be definition as to the matter involved in a subscription and that which is not involved.

Having insisted on an unqualified subscription as the only aporoach having any validity whatsoever the proponents of this view were now forced to denine rather precisely that which must be accepted in a quia subscription and that which, although contained in the Confessions, is net binding.

The line was drawn at the point of dogma. For this the Saxons had good precedent in the position of J.T.Muller, the German theologian who held that the Church must insist that in everything which pertains to dogna the Confessions be accepted by her teachers not quatenus but quia. 72

Walther held that because the doctrinal articles contained in the Confessions are etemal truths there was no choice but to accept them without reservation or qualification. 73

$$
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This, in essence, has been the attitude within The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod.

Rudelbach had pointed out already in 1841 that the contents of the Confessions varied in degrees of importance. He held that all articles of Paith which were thus designated and all doctrinal statements through which this fath is expressea are essential. ${ }^{74}$ He labeled less essential all that is advanced as proof as well as the methous by which opponents are answered. ${ }^{75}$ Passing explanations and remarks he considered less essential and practically of no consequence. We dismissed as non-essential all statements of a historical or Iiterary nature. ${ }^{76}$ The distinction between "articles of faith" by which the subscriber is bound and ordinary rectual statements was prominently elaborated by C.P.Schaeffer, theologian of the General Synod, in an article in the Evangeincal Review in 1850. Some years ister in trying to define the meaning of subscription walther neld that inasmuch as a confession is a statement of belief the subscriber binds himself to all the doctrines therein contained but not to historical references, matters belonging to human sciences, logic, method of presentation, adia-
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phora, etc. (Luth. XIV, 201ff.) ${ }^{77}$
He made it clear that since the symbols are confessions of faith or doctrine the church cannot require a subscription to those matters which do not belong to doctrine. They cannot be made the norm for German or Latin orthography or for perfect linguistic style or for other things which belong to the sphere of human knowledge. Nor is the servant of the church bound by that which falls within the sphere of criticism or of history or exegesis. 78 As Allbeck indicated this form of subscription (quia) does not mean that the church or the subscriber is bound to every aspect of the theological proof. Not every word of patristic quotations is guaranteed by The Lutheran Church. 79
rhus walther held that although it is true that subscription to a doctrinal confession manifestly concerns only essentials in a doctrinal confession everything that belongs to the doctrinal content is essential since the essence of a doctrinal coniession is doctrine. ${ }^{80}$ Conceding that symbols must be understood in tne historical setting which sheds the necessary background on "the manner in which men understood and interpreted Scripture at the time when certain articles were in controversy in the Church and the contrary doctrines were rejected and con77 Erwin L. Lueker, Lutheran Cyclopedia, $p .634$ 78 U.P.Walther, Why Our Pastors, etc. $p .242$ 79 Willard Dow Allbeck, Studies in The Lutheran $\frac{\text { Confessions, }}{80} 10$
${ }^{80} \mathrm{C}$. F. waitner, Why Our Pastors, etc. p .247
demned" he emphasized that the doctrinal articies contained in the Symbols are eternal tmuths. 81

Unconditional subscription, Walther felt, does not at all imply that the form, method, and process of proof used in the Symbols is to be followed rather than any other. In this connection he quotes John Comrad Dannhauer who wrote: "hthough the Symbols do not bind us to retain all the circumstances, terms, arguments, and illustrations that have been used the doctrinal content or the substance of the doctrine must be retained just as it is recorded in Scripture." 82 This was in reeping with the approach of those German theologians who held to the view that subscription must be without qualification but maintained that while there are historical, linguistic and other errors in formulation in the Confessions this is irrelevant inasmuch as doctrine alone was the object on subscription. 83

The present chairman of the Department of Systematic Theology at Concordia Seminary in St. Louis of which Dr. Walther was president wrote in 1954 as follows: The Book of Concord is not infallible. Its authors were not twentieth century nuclear physicists and they lacked the prescience that might have made it possi-. ble por them to poresee the shape or things to cone. The physical world of the Book of Concord is a simple
-••••••••
81 Toia., P. 248
83 C. Walther, Why Our pastors, etc. 1.242
one by modern standards. It has four elements: Earth, fire, water, and air. It is from this physical world that it draws its illustrations and analogies. For people who must have things precisely right and who read in last week's newspaper that the number of discovered chemical elements had just topped one humared, such analogies are likely te be be something less than wholly convincing.

When we look at the arguments it uses, we find that occasionally they are distinctly ad hominem, as when the Augustana argues that haman nature is becoming weaker as the world grows old and that priests must be allowed to marry or there will be a clergy shortage.

The pook of Concord sometimes falls short of modern standards of bibliographical accuracy. Luther, for instance on occasion quoted his sources from memory. Thus one reference to a passage in the Sacred Scriptures in the Smalcald Articles is two chapters wide of the mark. There are conflate combinations of quotations that it is almost impossible to disentangle. At least one quotation... St. Augustin's reference to the accession of the Word to the element...is repeated.

There is a considerable amount of second-hand quotation like Melanchthon's citations from Archbishop Theophylact of Bulgaria, which he seens to have introduced into the first edition of the Apology in part at least to give him an opportunity to talk back to Oecolampadius and which he eliminated fron the next edition.

Modern patristie research has shown that many of the ascriptions of quotations are inaccurate. St. Augustine did not write the Hypomesticon. St. Ambrose did not write De Vocatione gentium. It was a Pseudo Thomas, not St. Thomas Aquinas, who wrote De venerabili saccamento altaris, as it was a Pseudo Cyprian who wrote De Coena Domini.

The Fommula of Concord raises no objection to the principle that magnetism can be hindered by rubbing the magnet with garlic juice。

Obviously this thoroughly normal fallibility actually has nothing to say about the applicability of the theological and religious and spiritual and moral
principles which the Book of Concord enunciates. 84
Dr. Theodore Tappert, the great confessional
authority in The Lutheran Church Tn America concurs with the foregoing when he points out that in addition to lapses of seemliness or memory and shortcomings of an historical, philological, and scientific nature the confessions contain dubious statements of a third kind which are, directly or indirectly, doctrinal in application. The Aussburg Confession in its opposition to the Anabaptists declares flatly withomt any qualifying "ordinarily" that baptism is "necessary to salvation." With an apparently unconditional endorsement of Artistotle's Bthics, the Apology affirms that "Aristotle wrote concerning civil morals so leamedly that nothing further conceraing this need be demanded." The Latin text of the Smalcald hrticles refers to the "pure, holy, and ever virgin Mary." Nelanchthon's treatise "OP the Power and Primacy of the Pope" teaches a conception of the relation between church and state which has no adherents among Lutherans in America ana this is supported by Luther in his preface to the Small catechism. ${ }^{85}$

It must be entirely evident that an unaulified

84 A. C. Piepkorn, The Signiricance of The Luther$\frac{\text { an Symbols for Today, pp. 32, } 33}{85}$
$8_{\text {E. C. Pendt ( }}$ (ed.) What Lutherans Are Thinking, pp. 358,358
subscription to the Confessions cannot embrace the entire content of the Symbols but that upon the demand for such a subscription there must follow imediately a very careful delineation of the contents concerning which the subscriber speaks his "yea."

While all interested parties are agreed that the Confessions are norma normata they are not agreed concerning the sense in which subscriptions are to be made. The proponents of the conditional subscription are faced with the fact that theirs is a relatively meaningless pledge. The Lutheran Churchomissouri Synod and those who share the view that subscription must be unconditional are confronted by the apparently impossible task of defining "doctrinal content."

Prom the foregoing it can be seen that all parties concerned with retaining a valid position have had to come to grips with very real and practical problems. The aifeiculty of obtaining a meanine 呈ul subscription in the face of the inequality of confessional content remains.

> CHAPTRR IV QUATENUS AND QURA COMPARED

## CHAPTER TV

GUATEMUS AND QUTA COMRARED

Inasmuch as conscientious Lutheran theologians who are seriously concerned about the place of the ConPessions in the life of the Church do not agree in so far as the mode of subscription is concerned a comparison between the two basic views is attempted on the following pages. The hope is that an examination of the strengths and weaknesses of each may indicate the direction to be taken by a confessionalism that will be both valid and meaningful for this age.

## A. AREAS OM AGREYMENA

## 1. Importance of Confessions

As Lutherans have re-evaluated their varying stances over against the confessions it has become apparent that none is without its diffichties. It is a ract that there are large areas of agreenent but also real areas of tension regarding the proper approach to the matter of subscription.

That the proponents of both views have a lot in common is evidenced by the fact that they hola the Confessions in such high esteem that they are vitally concerned about a proper approach to those historic
documents. Both are prepared, when pressed, to acknowledge as Hutheran individuals as well as church bodies Who do not subscribe to all six of the particular creeds so long as none ace expressly rejected. pinally, and perhaps most importantly, both wnerstand there is much in the content of the Symbolical Books which no educated Christian or this century can accept.

## B. AREAS OF TERSION

## 1. Tdeal Canon of Symbols

As one surveys the difference in approaches it becomes clear that those who insist upon an unqualisied subscription (particularly The Lutheran Churchomissouri Synod), while acknowledging the Lutheranism of any who accept the Augsburg Coneession and Luther's Small Catechism consider subschiption to all of the Lutheran ConPessions the ideal toward which the Church should strive. The advocates of a gatenus approach make such of those contents which are manifestly unacceptable to the modern Christian mind and etress the historical interpretation of the symbolical books. They contend that insistence upon subscription without qualification logically leads to a "symbolatry" which is not far removed from the type of authoritarianism characteristic of the Church of Rome.

The Lutheran Church-hissouri synod, by contrast, has historically looked mpon anything less than an un-
qualified subscription as the equivalent of no subscription. This contention has been wnergirded by the logic which holds that a quatenus loyalty can be given to any writing whatsoever and that if nothing further is required of the subscriber each teacher and preacher becomes a norm.

The mutually achnowledsed factor of unacceptable material is dealt with on the one hand by the guatenus formula and, on the other, by the statement that the quia refers only to the doctrinal content of the confessions.
2. Definition of Doctrinal Content

In its desire to avoid the Scylla of a meaningLess subscription The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod has been led to the Charybdis of endeavoring to define just What the doctrinal contents are. To date the question "What is a doctrine?" has not been answered adequately by that synod. Thus there are some who stress the statement of the Confessions that the papacy is the Antichrist 85 and hold to this as a doctrine while others just as confidently ansmiss the statement as an historical judgment not binding upon subscribers. On the one hand it is held that the semper virgo is a doctrine and on the other this

86
Brief Statent of the Doctrinal Position of The Missouri Synod, p. 8 (Contained in Reports and Menorials 45th Reguiar Conv. of The Luth. Ch. -missouri Synod, Cleveland, Ohio, June 20-30, 1962)
is dismissed as a pious opinion of the writers. Some hold that the subscriber must accept the view that prayers ror the dead are useful ${ }^{87}$ while others reject this as heretical.

Although logic appears to be on the side of The Lutheran Churchmolissouri Synod in its effort tomake subscriptions meaningful by recourse to the guia formula the impossibility of achieving consensus in the matter of "doctrinal content" appears to indicate that neither the Quia nor Quatenus is completely satisfactory.

87 Theodore G . Tappert (ed.) The Book of Concord Apology, Art. MKIV (Philadelphia, Pe, Muhlenberg Press, 1959

While all concerned hold the Confessions in high regard and insist upon subscription to them neither of the traditional approaches is completely satisfactory and within the groups themselves there are serious differences revolving around the implications of subscription. No doubt this tension will continue for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, the suggestions which follow are meant to indicate a modification of the attitude of The Lutheran Churchemissouri Synod which could possibly make subscription to the Confessions more realistic and meaningful within that body and prove helpful toward bridging the gap between its position and that of other Lutherans.

## A. THE CANON

## 1. Basic Symbols

It is an interesting fact of Church history and of the contemporary religious scene that Lutherans are divided as to the books which are binding. Thanks to the Reformation and its Confessions the old creeds have found a permanent place in the dogma of the evano gelical churches and, since Melanchthon, have gained and retained decisive influence. 88

It is helpful to note the attitude of the 88
 (St. Louis, Mo. Concordia Publ. House, 1962), p. 207

Lutheran Reformers who obeyed Scripture but accepted the old creeds. 89.

The highest rule and nom in the life of the church, in its teaching and preaching order, is Foly Scripture. In order to acclain and maintain the substantial inentity or its message with the apostolic Gospel, the Lutheran Church bound itself by Confessions.

In a primary position are the symbols of the ancient Church. Recognition of these creeds implies not only obligation to be faith of the fathers but also agrement with the catholic and apostolic Church.

The fumamental Confessions of the Eutheran Church as such is the Augsburg Confession (Contessio Augustana or CA). It represents the witness made in 1530 by the evangelical estates to the true apostolic doctrine of the catholic church, in agreement with the Scriptures and the Gmbols of the ancient church. The Augsburg Confession attained the rank of a confessional symbol only upon its adoption by the evangelical princes in 1539 and its elevation to the position of doctrinal basis for the constitution of the territorial churches. The adoption of the Augsburg Conression was intended to demonstrate not only the agreement of the evangelical doctrine with the faith of the ancient church, but also the legitimacy of the Reformation in accord with the right of coniession hitherto in force. This adoption was therefore not only an ecclesiastical and theological act, but also a legal act. As a nom for doctrine the Musburs Confession is fond first in Hesse, 1532, and from then on in many other terictorial chur ches.

The fology of the Augsburg Confession was written to interpret the Augsburg Confession and to defend it against the refutation (confutatio) of the Roman theologians at the Diet of Augsourg (1530). Together with the Augsburg Confession it was adopted by the princes as a doctrinal norm in 1537 and thence found its way into the church constitutions. The Smalcald Articles of 1537 elaborated more sharply the assertions of the Augsburg Confession in anticipation of the church council called by Pope Eaul IIT. Essentially, however, these axticles were designed to be
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a polemical discassion with the papal church, something deliberately avoided in the Augsburg Cofession by Melanchthon, the framer of that document. They were indeed endorsed by the theologians in 1537 , but but these were not adouted as a doctrinal norm by the princes...they did not become confessionally basic until they found their way into church constitutions and into lange doctrinal works (corpora doctrinae). The Prmula of Concord (eirst circulated $1576-77$ is also collaterally related to the Augsburg Confession, its purpose was to settle anthoritatively controversial questions which had arisen with Iutheranism and, above all, to demarcate Lutheranism more sharoly from Calvinism. In doing this, it stands as an interpiretation of the pertinent articles of the Augsbure Coression.

Luther's Catechism were originally not intended to be confessional symbols and doctrinal norms, but since they were greatly beloved and widely circulated, they in time became part of the doctrinal basis of the territorial chuches and thus were given the ranic of confessional symbols.

In 1580 all these writings were gathened in the Book of Concord, the most important Corpus doctrinae still widely in force today, and accepted by many territorial churches. The ook of Concord was intended to be the concessional basis for union within Latheranism. 90

Professor heodore Tappert, one of the great contemporary authorities on the Lutheran Confessions, very pertinently calls attention to the fact that historically there has been no agreement as to the canon of the Lutheran Symbols....

We need to remind ourselves at the outset that Latheran Churches have not always inciuded the same documents in their collections of confessions. This was not only true in the sixteenth century, it has remained true to our own time. It is common mow-

90 Vilmos Vajta and Hans Weissgerber (ed.), The Church and the Confessions, $p .112$
ledge that in the churches of Morway and Denmark as well as in their North American daughten churches it has been custonary to limit subscription to the Augsburg Confession and Luther's Snall Catechism. It is not so commoniy imown that in sone other Lutheran Churches subscription has included such additional itens as Melanchthon's Loci Communes (Pomerania), Luther's Great Confession Concerning the Holy Supper (Osnabracis), the Saxon Visitation Articies (Saxony), Urbanus Rhegius' bookiet, "How One Should Speak Circumpectiy about the Cnief Articles of Christian Doctrine" (Lauenburg), the Te Deum in addition to the Apostles', Nicene and Athanasian Creeds while others have singled out only the hpostles' Creed. Histarical circumstances involving political and geographical as well as ecclesiastical considerations, accoum for these difcerences. Of far more importance is the universal acmowledgement of the Augsburg Confession and Luther's Small Catechism and the actual in not explicit use of the Apology, Smalcald Articles, Large Catechism, and Frmula of Concord in interpreting the Augsburg Confession and Bmall Catechism. In so far as this is so, there is much more actual agreement as to confessional standaras in worla Lutheranism than in the diversity of Corpus doctrinae might at first suggest. 91

> It has already been stated that unlike some other religious denominations in the United States the Lutheran Church has never been pledged to any particular form of organization but has emphasized the importance of doctrinal purity and conformity with the fumamental Confessions of the church. However, even in this respect a divergence has prevailed with respect to the Symbols, except regarding the Augsburg Confession which all have accepted in whole or in part with slight modifications. 92

|  | Essays on The Lutheran Confessions |
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Strangely, while C.F.W.Walther stood out as one of the most determined spoldesmen for an wnequivocal subscription to the Conessions it is somewhat dipicalt to define precisely the scope of "the symbolical books" as he envisioned it. 93 Th the formative days of what was later to be The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod, he was the proponent of the view which made it incumbent upon every congregation to declare itgelf for or against Missouri by making its membership in that body dependent upon acceptance of an unalterable article in the constitution which demanded subscription to the theee Reamenical Creeds, the Unaltered Augsburs Confession, the Mpology, the Smalcala Artiches, the Large Catechism of Luther, the Small Catechism of Luther, and the Pormala of Concord. 94 In his own Trinity Congregation in Saint. Louis, Missouri he included even the Saton Visitation Articlos among the documents which were bundigg. 95
2. Other Symbols Not To Be Denied

It was this same Walther, interestingly, who acknowledged that any and every church which adheres to
$\qquad$
93 Arthur Carl Piepkorn, Walther and The Untheran Symbols, P. 615

94 Carl Mauelshagen, p. 118
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the teachings in the Lutheran Confessions is a Lutheran Church...

All who believe and live according to the doctrine of the (Augsburg) Confession and the Apology are by virtue of this faith and ooctrine our brethren, and their perils concern us as much as our own. Neither dare we forsake them, for they are members of the true Chur ch no matter when they join us, whether they do it secretly or openly or whether they live among us or in toreign parts...The mere official declaration of a body that it acknowledges the Lutheran Confession does not, of course, make it Lutheran, its status is detemmined by what is publicly whtnessed, preached and practised in its midst. 96

Just as, at the time of the departure from
Aussburg, Luther expressed the view that all who held the hugsburg Confession, either openly or secretly, must be regarded as brothers, ${ }^{97}$ so walther held that anyone who could accept the Augsburg Confession could accept the other Confessions since their doctrines were implicit in the sugsburg Conession, Thus, in his view, those like the Swedish and Denish state churches which never accepted the pormala of Concord formally were nevertheless to be treated as Hutheran inasmuch as they dia accept the Augsburg Confession. 98 No doubt it is for this reason also that Missouri has never insisted upon formal acceptance of the entire book of Concord as a prerequisite for inter-
$\qquad$
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97 Bruin L. Lueker (ea.) Lutheran Cyclogedia, P. 63498 Grwin L. Lucker, Punctions of Symbols and of Doctrinal Statements, $p .282$
communion and fellowship. 99
What makes a Church Lutheron is its honest commitment to a significant portion of the Latheren Symbols, that is, at the least, the Catholic Creeds, the Augsburg Confession and the Bmall Catechism. ${ }^{100}$ So long as there is no explicit denial of the doctrines contained in the other Bymbols such a basic canon should sutice. As a matter of fact it is probably less than realistic to suppose that any significant portion of even the professional teachers of the Chwoh will ever be conversant to a meaningen degree with the other confessions.

Actually the confessional unity of the Lutheran Churches in the world consists in (1), a recognition of the Holy Scriptures as the highest rule and norm for all Christian teaching and life, (2), an expression of agreement with the truly catholic and apostolic church by recognition of the symbols of the ancient charch, and (3) recosnition of the Augsburg Conession as the fundamental confession of the Lutheran Reformation and as a binaing docteinal norm. Thus "The Lutheran World Federation acknowledges the Holy Scriptures of the old and New restaments as the only source and the infallible norm of all church doctrine and practice and sees in the Confessions of the Lutheran Church, especially in the Unaltered Augsburg

99 Arthur Carl Piepkorn, The Sienificance of the $\frac{\text { Eutheram } 5 \text { ymbols for Tonay., p. } 41}{100 \text { Thia., p. } 39}$

Confession and Luther's Catechism a pure exposition of the Word of God."101

This is a realistic approach since the average Lay person is seldom knowledgeable beyond the Small Catechism and the majority of the clergy, while making much of the Confessions in theory, usually are not too well informed beyond the Catechisu and the Augsburg Confesstom。

## B. Whe meantwg Or sucscraraon

## 1. Clearly Stated Doctrines

Subscription has to do only with clearly-stated doctrinal matters. This is a statenent which find ready acceptance among all Lutherans. The aifeiculty arises When the effort is made to define "clearly-stated doctrinal matters."lo2 It is precisely in this area that Lutherans who are concened about ereater unity of their churches will have to reexamine their om attitudes and will be Porced to confer with those in other bodies in which the Confessions are considered normative. Since nobody can honestiy accept as true every jot and tittle of the Book of Concord each generation must clarify anew what is "the confession whthin the Conessions. 100
$101_{\text {Vilmos Vajta }}$ and Mans Weissgerber, Whe Church and The Confessions, P. $\frac{4}{2}$
$102_{\text {Tbia. }} 0.183$
103 Lssays on The Lutheran Confessions Basic To Lutheran Copperation, p.32

The Lutheran Church-wissouri Byod, for example will find it necessary to take a hard look at its oft-repeated statement that the Roman Papacy is the Anti-Christ and ask itself why this particular historical judgment has assumed the status of a doctrine while a multitude of other juagments of an historical nature have consist tently been dismissed as not binding.

## 2. Not Historical or exegetical matter

The controversies among Lutherans have bronght about unanimity of opinion regardigg the place of historical, scientific, and exegetical statements. No responsible teachers of the church hola that these are binaing. It is essential that not merely theologians but all interested parties acknomledge that the acceptance or rejection of such opinions, although rount in the Symbols, is adiaphoristic in characten.
3. Quia
a. It Alone Is meaningrul

Within the limitations set forth above the insistance of The Lutheran Church-missouri Synod and of other conservative Lutheron gromps and individuals would appear to be the only valid and meaningful approach. To require a less unequivocal statement of loyalty is tantamount to no declaration of eat therlness whetsoever and
makes of the individual the judge of that which constitutes Gatheranism.

More important than any external and formal oath or promise is that the subscriber freely and willingIy makes his pledge as a statoment of a Paith which he himself honestly holds, in other words loyalty to the Confessions must be not merely de jure but de facto. Where there is such an inner agreement with the contents of the Confessions they will, as A.T. Kantonen puts it, be regardea not as shackles that bind the subscriber but as heartheats of the faith of the fathers. They will be viewed as coalbeds in which the light and energy of vital Christian witness have been preserved chanling the subscriber to release this energy for his own use so that his faith may be strong and positive. 104

According to the Australian Lutheran of October, 1952 the mity commitees of The Evangelical Lutheren Church of Australia na The United Evangelical Lutheran Church of Australia dckieved agreement with respect to the Lutheran Confessions. While railing to come to grips with the motty problem of definition of "doctrinal content" the theses appear to avoia the extrenes of symbolatry and of a lax approach to the Symbols. They ellow herewith as a statement which might serve as a clear and
${ }^{104}$ Vilmos Vajta (ed.) The Umity of The Church (Rock Island, Tlinois Rugustana Book Concerm, 1957), , 45
comprehensive guide for those who endeavor to claripy the meaning of conressional subscription.

1. With the fathes of the Lutheran Church in Austraila who came to this country as confessors of the Biblical truth expressed in the Lutheran Confessions, we solemly reaffirm as our own confession the Confessional Writings of the Evangelical Lutheran Church as they are contained in the Book of Concord.
2.With the Book of Concord we teach that creeds and conressions are necessary for the Church as a means to... a. Sumarize the thme doctrine of the Word of God. D. Express the common consent not only whth the believers of today, but also with the true church of all ages irom the time of the Apostles and the ancient Creeds to the end of the world.
c. Reject error and heresy and thereby fieht the devil, who tries to destroy the Gospel.
d. Confess the truth before the world.
e. Confess the faith "in the sight of Cod" and in view of the last judgement.
2. With the Book of Concord we make the fundanental distinction between the Bcriptures and the Confessions. Holy Bcripture ia God's Mord, the confession the human answep to that Word. The Scriptures are given by inspiration of God and are therefore the only source of Christian actrim, "the only rule and standard according to which at once all dogmas and teachers shonid be esteemed and judged," while the Confessions, like all homan writings, even in written with the assistance of the Holy Ghost, "should be altogether subordinated to them". They "are not juages, as are the Holy Scriptures, but only a testimony and declaration of the faith, as to how at any time the Holy scriptmes have been understood and explained in the articles in controversy in the Church of God by those then living, and how the opposite dogna was rejected and condemmed." Thms all doctrines of the Confessions have to be examined again and again in the light of Noly Scripture.
3. With the Pormula of Concord and the Lutheran Church of all times we accept the Lutheran Confessions, including the three "Ecumenical Creeds," not oniy as highly important historicel documents, or as necessary and correct doctrinal decisions of the church in times past, but as dogmatic statements which bind the church today on account of their pure doctrine (scriptural). While their authority is a secondary
one (norma normata), derived from the authority of Holy Scripture (norma normans), they nevertheless possess neal authority as a correct interpretation of Scripture. As the coniessos of the Formula of Concord accepted the Unaltered Augsburg Contession "not because it was composed by our theologians, but because it has been derived from rod's Word (quia e Verbo Domini est desumpta) and is Founded well and firm therein" so we accept the Lutheran Conessions as a sumary and as a correct exposition of the Word of God. We hold that the acceptance of and the subscription to the Confessions in the Lutheran Church must always be made muia "because", not quatenus "as far as", the Confessions are in agreement with the Word of God.
S. In accepting the Lutheran Confessions, we accept all doctrines taught therein on the basis of God's Word, both in thesis and antithesis, whether bhey are solemnly prochaimed as dogma of the church (e.g. by the formula "we believe, teach, and confess") or not. As the confessors of the Pommia of Concord saw in the various confessions, which they accepted, the summary of the Christian doctrine, so we find in the various writinge and articies of the Book of Concora the doctrine of the Lutheran Church, that is, the doctrine of the Gospel in its various aspects. Just as Jesus Christ is the center and content or Holy Scripture, so the article on justipication by faith in Jesue Cheist is the soul or the Contessions. Every single article points to the "articulus stantis et cadentis ecclesiae and is therefore a withess to the Chist of the Bibie.
6.We do not regard as belonging to the doctrinal content of the Confessions matters that lie on the plane of human mowledge, learnang, science, and philosophy; these do not touch the doctrine of Holy Writ. Nor is the conessional obligation violated when doubt is expressed whether, in the case of some Scripture passage adduced as a proottext in support of a boctrine, the intended meaning has been adequately grasped and applied. To regard the Contessions as a correct interpretadion of Holy Scripture does not imply that in every case the understanding of a Scripture passage is to be recognized as sufficient and Tinal.
4. In interpreting the Confessions we regard as the standard text in each case the original and orticial text, i.e., in the case of the Hugsburg Confession the German and Latin text, the Latin text of the Apology and the

Tractatus, and the German text of all other writirgs. The early translations included in the Book of Concord are to be valued as important commentaries, but otherwise they have no authority.
8. Together with the positive doctrine of the Confessions we accept the "condemations," i.e., censures and rejections of errors and heresies. According to the authoritative explanation of the Book of Concord the condemations do not mean that true believers and therepore the Church of Jesus Christ are pound only in the Lutheran Church. They mean that false loctrine is rejected and that no church fellowship can exist With those who consciously and persistently hold such doctrines. Among these doctrines the denial of the real presence of the body and blood of Christ in the Sacrament of the Altar is especially mentioned. Loyalty to the Lutheran Confessions includes the practical application of these principles in the life of the Church.
9.Althorgh we accept the Book of Conconk as the Conession of the Lutheran Church, we recognize that there are Lutheran Christians or Churches who have not officially accepted the winole Book of Concora. Churches which have never accepted the F omula or Concord are to be regarded as Lutheran as long as they fathruliy subscribe to and uphola the other Lutheran Conessions, for it is possible to be a Latheran without having accegted the Fommula or Concord, but not if rejecting it. We recognize that for laymen, especially for simp ple Christians and children, the Small Catechism is the simplest sumary of the Lutheran fath. Bestaes the Catechism, the Unaltered Augsourg Contession, whose main articles can be understood by every adult Christian, must be the confessional basis or every congregation which claims to be Lutheran. The Young Churches on the mission fields may find it necessary to make a new formulation of the Lutheran doctrine. This is possible, provided the doctrine remains the doctrine of the Confessions of the sixteenth centary, bectuse they need the doctrine contained in the Confessions, especially in the Catechism and in the main articies of the Augsburg Confession, in watever form this doctrine may be presented. The Iutheran Church in fature nay be obitged to fommate new confessional statements on subjects or abont guestions which may arise in the course of history. Such aew confessions will be Lutheran only if they reaffirm and presuppose the doctrine contained in the Book of Concord, just as the Augburg Confession confirmed the Ecumenical

Creeds, and the pormula of Concond reatimmed the older Lutheran Confessions.
10. In accepting the confessions as our comession, i.e., as the expression of what "we believe, teach, and confess" today, we recognize the duty of the chameln, its wastors and congregations, constantly to use the ConPessions as a guide into the riches of Roly Scriptures and to be a truly confessing Church, as our Lord wants us to be For sin and erar will continue, and with then will continue the oblieation of the Church to confess in living faith Christ and all His Word in the face of opposing error, until Fe finself will confess before His wathor in heaven those who have confessed Him on earth. 105

Although the many citations adduced as proofs
by the Australian committees have not been included in statements themselves, particulariy the fifth which makes the doctrine of justification the point of departure, shoulabe of help in the quest for a reasonable approach to the symbols.

There can be no dombt that C.H.W.Walther must be credited with havine made a very real contribution toward elevating the Symbols to the high position which they enjoy in the Lutheran bodies of America today. While his logic is compelling he appears at times to contradict himself. Certainly contemporary theologians would stabe the case diperentiy than did this leading spirit of the Lutheran Church-alissouri Synod. He conceded that it was theoretically possible that ar errom might be found in the Symbols and in that case, he wrote, Lutherans $\ldots . . .$.
105 paul . Bretscher, Thesis on the Lutheran Confessions, Concord. Theol. Monthiy, VaI. XXIV, No. 3 larch 1550, pp216-220
must be the first to sound the death lonell on those Symbols. To this he hastened to add that in spite of the attempts of the enemies of Lutheranism over a span of three hundred years to demonstrate error none hed ever been revealed. 106 In Den Lutheraner he wrote, "we do not hereDy mean to indicate that we are among those who believe that their understanding requines no development or correction. Tt is rather our constant serious endeavor to make progress in the recognition of truth and, with the help of dod, to free ourselves more and mere from the errors which still exing to us. 1107

Regarding the completeness of the Confessions Walther decried those who said that there are no Lutheran doctrines other than those round in the Symbols. He held this attitude would make of Lutheranisn a sect which Diaced the Confessions on the same level as the Bible。 108 He wrote, "No matter how dear and valuable the incomparable confessions of his Church are to every Lutheran, he does not pernit them to become the Lutheran Bible in which all other Biblical doctrines are more or less irrelevant, mere subjects 'concerning which every sincere Christian

106 C. . Walther, Why our pastors, etc., p. 247
107 Arthur C. Repp, Scripture, Confessions, and Dooctrinal Statements In a Symposium or Essays and Adaresses given at the Counselors Conference, Valparaiso, Inaina, spt, $08^{7-14, ~ 1960, ~ p p 111 ~}$
C. W. Walther, The Talse Arguments for the Modern Theory of Open questions, Concomia Theological Monthiy Volume X, No. 9, bept. 1s39, p.663
may hold his own private and individual convictions" "109 He held that the Symbols are not so much the landmarks of the Church's spiritual development as the boundrylines separating the Church from certain falsehoods. 110 In practice, however, Walther and his followers considered the Reformation a finality as far as the Biblical teachings were concerned and its doctrines, having been fully elucidated in the Confessions and Symbols of the Lutheran Church, were no longer subjects for an historical analy sis and revision. 111 on the one hand Walther stated: "you must again ana yet again draw everything through the Scriptures...even the Confessions."112 on the other hand Missouri held that, having tested the Symbols and found them Scriptural, one must thereafter interpret Scripture according to the Confessions. 113
b. It must be De Facto as well as De Jure

The Lutheran Confessions, when interpreted not in so legalistic and formal a manner but in the light of their fundamental doctrine of the Gospel, do not hamper exeges $1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}} 4$ Subscription to the Confessions in practice means that Lutherans hold the confessors to have been correct in view


111 Carl Mauelshagen, American Lutheranism Surrenders to Forces of Conservatism $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}} 156$

112 Th . Engelder (ed.) Walther and The Church, p. 43 113 C . F. Walther, Why Our Pastors, ete. p .246 Edmund Schlink Theology of The Lutheran Confessions, p. AXVIII
of the issues which were at stake and the alternatives which were offered in their day. 115 As Peter Drunner, professor of Dogmatics at the University of Augsburg has put it, genuine loyalty to the Lutheran Confession involves adaressing one's self to the contemporary situation and saying what we confess when we conpess together with the fathers. Tt means applying the Biblical insights of the fathers to our contemporary situation. IIG Confessions are the answer from earth to the Revelation Rom heaven and witnesses to the maner in which pure doctrine has been preserved. While the Conpession is not itself the great cause of God about which one rallies it is the signal or standard which condenses and gathers into itself the various elements of the canse and the distinctive token by which, incidently, Lutherans know themselves from others. 117 The more Lutherans love the cause the more readily they gather about the standard of that camse.
c. A Tempered Approach

Ir confessionalism is to mean anything in this day it will have to be recognized that the Book of Concord does not necessarily contain the fully developed

115 gssays on The Lutheran Confessions Basic to Lutheran Cooperation, 0.29

116 Vilmos Vajta, The Unity of The Church, 9.99
117 . E. Schmauk and C.T.Benze, The Confessiomal Brincinles and The Confession of The Lutheran Church, p. 10
doctrine of the evangelical Church but the doctrine developed sufficiently to meet the situations then at issue with Rone 118 The subscriber says, essentially, that he believes the confessors gave an adequate answer to the questions which confronted them. From this base and with the Gospel which is the heart of the Conessions he has a pracitical witness to the iaith in his heart, a faith held not de jure but de facto as well.

Out of such a background the confessor listens to the God who speaks in the witness of the prophetic and apostolic Scriptures and who speaks to the Lutheran Reformers as, indeed, he speals to and through Christians in the entire history of the Church. 119 for him the Bymbols are, first or all, Confessions in the full implications of the German word, Bekenntnis. They are Confessions of sin whereby the confessors, realistically conscious of their sinfulness, bow before God in deep repentance and acknowledge these own bankruptcy. Secondry, they are confessions of rath as the expression of total trust in God's gracious Porgiveness in Christ. Thirdy, they ane conessions of praise, the pree, spontaneous doxology of pardoned simners to an unspeakably merciful Cod. ${ }^{120}$


Perhaps it was something like this that the essayists representing the three major Lutheran bodies meant when, aftex their meeting in 1960, they reported that substantial agreement had been reached with reference to:

1) designation of the Confessions which are involved in subscription,
2) assertion of historical Imitations in the Contessions,
3) allowance of distinction between the primary nom of the Scriptures and the secondary norm of the Contessions,
4) recognition that the heart of the Confessions is therr witness to the cospel,
5) acknowledgement that this understanding of the Gospel requireg rejection on contradicting maderstandings,
6) afrimation of the importance of Confessional pybscription for the proclamation of the church. 121

With the importance of the confessions in the life of The Lutheran Churchomissouri Synoa thus tempered by a real understanding of their form and function there is opportunity for the Spirit of God to continue bis work of leading the Church into all trath. ..............

121 Thid., 0.25
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